01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06 07	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
)
08	JOHN ROBERT DEMOS, JR., Plaintiff,) CASE NO. MC10-061-MJP
)
10	V.) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
11	STAFFORD CREEK CORRECTIONAL STAFF, et al.,)
12	Defendants.))
1314	Plaintiff John Damos 1 submitted on or	fidevit with the Court in which he cooks to start
	Plaintiff John Demos ¹ submitted an affidavit with the Court in which he seeks to start criminal proceedings without contacting any prosecuting authorities. (Dkt. 1, at 5.) Plaintiff	
15	criminal proceedings without contacting any j	prosecuting authorities. (Dkt. 1, at 5.) Plaintill
16	1. Disintiff is well by says lesselly and	nationally or on absolve litiaant. He is under
17	pre-filing bar orders in a number of courts, including this Court, the Eastern District of Washington, the Washington State courts, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the United States Supreme Court. <i>See, e.g., Demos v. Storrie</i> , 507 U.S. 290, 291 (1993). An Order of this Court provides for the return without filing of any petition that seeks an extraordinary writ pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1651, 2253 or 2254, unless accompanied by the filing fee. <i>See Demos v. Stanley</i> , MC97-0031-JLW (W.D. Wash. Mar. 13, 1997). In addition, plaintiff may submit only three IFP applications and proposed actions each year. <i>See In re John Robert Demos</i> , MC91-269-CRD (W.D. Wash. Jan. 16, 1992); <i>In re Complaints and Petitions Submitted by John Robert Demos</i> (W.D. Wash. Dec. 15, 1982). Furthermore, under 28 U.S.C.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

is advised that this is not the proper way to bring criminal matters on behalf of the government and that the Court may not initiate criminal proceedings on his behalf. The Court recommends that this matter be dismissed. A proposed Order is attached. DATED this 24th day of May, 2010. Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

PAGE -2